Diagnostics and Syndromes are Rockefeller Medicine Fuckery. Modern Medicine is Fifth Generation Warfare on YOU

Yeadon on PCR and other Diagnostics

SAGE HANA
AUG 10, 2024

90 32 16 Share

Background:

SUPPRESSING CANCER CURES AS A MEANS OF POPULATION CONTROL

> Cancer. He said. "**We can cure almost every cancer right now. Information is on file in the Rockefeller Institute, if it's ever decided that it should be released. But consider - if people stop dying of cancer, how rapidly we would become overpopulated. You may as well die of cancer as something else.**"
>
> Efforts at cancer treatment would be geared more toward comfort than toward cure. There was some statement that ultimately the cancer cures which were being hidden in the **Rockefeller Institute** would come to light because independent researchers might bring them out, despite these efforts to suppress them. But at least for the time being, letting people die of cancer was a good thing to do because it would slow down the problem of overpopulation.

How Rockefeller Medicine Turned from a Grift Industry into A Cull Factory

SAGE HANA · OCTOBER 5, 2023

I am really beginning to put this together now.

Read full story →



Kary Mullis #1: Now deceased PCR Test Inventor discusses its limitations. 3:42 video.

SAGE HANA · NOVEMBER 16, 2023

Promo Code: cassiopea64

Read full story →

"Finally, I noticed Joshua Lederberg, president of the Rockefeller University, nearby, and I snared him into looking at my results." Kary Mullis, PCR Inventor, Scientific American, 1990

SAGE HANA · DECEMBER 17, 2023



The Unusual Origin of the Polymerase Chain Reaction

A surprisingly simple method for making unlimited copies of DNA fragments was conceived under unlikely circumstances—during a moonlit drive through the mountains of California

by Kary B. Mullis

Sometimes a good idea comes to you when you are not looking for it. Through an improbable

tal tissue specimen, from a single human hair, from a drop of dried blood at the scene of a crime, from the tis-

it at random points along its length. Consequently, if the DNA is removed from 1,000 identical cells, there will

Promo Code: Housatonic

Read full story →

January, 2007: "Faith in Quick Test (PCR) Leads to Epidemic That Wasn't"

SAGE HANA · APR 21



Promo Code: TLAV

Read full story →



Diagnostics

Dr Mike Yeadon

Feb 2

> I also have not delved into the serology, the purported detection of circulating antibodies. However, unlike PCR-based methods, which didn't exist when I was doing my PhD, I did develop & use enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISAs). The basic principle is to use the fact that **antibodies can be used as components or tools in detection methods for almost anything.**

related:

Whoops! Anchor Head takes the obvious logical step on the ever-changing grab bag of Covid-19 Symptoms: "Gotcha...so it's like a whole mixed bag of like flu, fever, cold symptoms....could be anything."

SAGE HANA · JAN 18

Stanford doctor explains how Covid-19 symptoms are changing

Read full story →

Back to Mike Yeadon.

> I have to be exquisitely clear that I'm referring to deliberately generated antibodies as tools at this point. I am not talking about the antibodies that you & I might have made in response to some foreign substance, living or dead, that has been somehow introduced into our bodies.
>
> As a second concept, claimed infections by the alleged virus could be supported by either of two methods.
>
> 1. Detection in blood of the virus or a viral protein such as spike.
>
> 2. Detection in blood of circulating antibodies to spike.
>
> Completely different concepts.
>
> To do 1, using ELISAs or a fundamentally similar approach to detect something, **first you need the something that you wish to develop a method to detect.**

That's very important. **You cannot create a tool to detect something without a sample of the thing to be detected.**

So when we're told, "We have detected viral infection because we've measured spike protein in blood samples", **ask where they got the original spike from in order to develop the test method.** Obviously, they never have had it. However, they could make part of it, using the genetic sequence. **But if the origins of that genetic sequence are shrouded, everything that follows from it is likewise mysterious.**

To measure spike in a sample, you need deliberately created antibodies to spike, which you can accomplish by injecting, say, a donkey, with your polypeptide which it is claimed is part of authentic spike. A couple of weeks later, you draw blood from the donkey and create serum by allowing it to clot & drawing up the clear serum, which contains any antibodies. Now you stick the antibodies to a plate, add a biological sample such as plasma from a human, and if there's spike in it, that spike sticks to the donkey anti-spike antibody on the plate.

You can detect that spike by using a second anti-spike antibody, classically from a different species, such as a sheep (that had also been injected with spike & serum collected). That second antibody would have had a colour-creating enzyme chemically bound to it, so that when you add your colourless starting material, colour develops in your plate only if your second antibody finds the spike bound to the first antibody, the latter itself previously stuck to the plate. So that's one way to develop a test for eg spike protein.

Do note though that we've only got liars word for it that what they inoculated the donkey with really was a piece of spike, itself synthesised using the dubious genetic sequence.

I've no way to know what it is that they're really detecting in this test. In order to qualify the test, it's necessary to show what it doesn't respond to & this "cross reactivity testing" has to be very thorough. If it's not done well enough, the test will be positive, but it might be because something other than spike, circulating in your blood, stuck to the original donkey antibody.

You can I think see how complicated this all is and how readily it could be deliberately subverted. **Does anybody think it would NOT have been subverted in order to yield the kinds of results the perpetrators wanted to see?**Note that commercial reagents could then be sold to hundreds of labs around the world. Scientists using it who are not involved in the fraud use it at face value to knock out thousands of scientific papers. The thicket of lies accumulates rapidly.

The second use is to develop methods purporting to detect antibodies to the virus, in this case viral spike protein.

Again, when we're told "We have detected (naturally created, in your body) antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein", a very good question is "**How did you develop a test for antibodies which you say are directed to this viral protein?"**

Just as with detecting spike protein itself, you need authentic spike or a part of it in order to detect circulating antibodies to spike. The purported spike this time is first stuck to the plate. Then the serum sample from a human you think might have been "infected by the virus" is added. If there are anti-spike antibodies in the sample, they'll adhere to the spike you stuck to the plate. You then wash the plate and detect human antibodies by using a second reagent, something like enzyme labelled sheep anti-

> human serum (generated by inoculating sheep with any human antibody, bleeding the sheep, then labelling their antibodies).
>
> **But the claim that you've detected circulating antibodies in human blood samples turns exquisitely on how well you set up the method & generated the tools you've used. If the so-called partial viral spike protein actually contains domains to something that humans routinely encounter and might raise antibodies to, well, your "test for antibodies to spike protein" is completely subverted.**
>
> Again, I ask the rhetorical question about whether there's any chance that the liars working for the perpetrators did what they claimed is worth asking.
>
> Personally, I do not trust the claimed methods for detecting ANY of the purported viral proteins OR claimed methods for detecting antibodies to the purported virus or viral proteins in human samples.
>
> **I think it's naive in the extreme to read any of those papers, thinking they are measuring what is claimed to be being measured.**
>
> Now, I might have wrongly & harshly misjudged the scientists who honestly & diligently worked very carefully to develop the methods to detect various alleged viral proteins and antibodies to same.
>
> I hope they'll contact me, hotly to explain how there's no doubt whatsoever that what's being detected using those commercial kits is anything but what they say, and here are the dozens of control experiments, making that unequivocally clear, at which point I'll prostrate myself in a grovelling apology.
>
> Or, tumbleweed. You decide.

https://sashalatypova.substack.com/p/how-to-fake-pandemics-part-2-i-do/comment/48663913

> It's a bloody good question, Sage. I don't think you've really elicited a good answer yet. I haven't given you one.
>
> I genuinely don't think your original question was rhetorical but in practise, I think that it is.
>
> There is no legitimate use of the technique of PCR, configured to make, in the opinion of the manufacturer, a test. **There's nothing being tested.** If there's ONE copy of the target sequence in the sample & you are able to **amplify it one trillion times (roughly the notional effect of 40 cycles of amplification**, where each cycle should double the amount of copies) you'll easily be able to see it in fluorescence.
>
> **And that means absolutely nothing. Everything is contained in anything, pretty. I recall people calculating how likely it is that you just breathed in one molecule of oxygen that at some time had been in a famous persons lungs.**
>
> You could have one broken piece of RNA. So what? **It doesn't even mean you're infected or ever had been. It certainly doesn't mean you're infectious. Or going to get sick. It's utterly meaningless.**

> They never include proper controls (known negative & known positive samples). Even if the principle was sound, the lack of controls blows it up.
>
> Remember also we don't know what it is that these short sequences that were told are in "SARS-CoV-2" really are. We can be sure they're lying. They've lied about absolutely everything else. Unlikely this is true, then. So what is being detected? No idea & no way to know.

👇

Person Who Understands the Question

SAGE HANA · DECEMBER 11, 2023

It's a bloody good question, Sage. I don't think you've really elicited a good answer yet. I haven't given you one.

Read full story →

How this dubious system for identifying alleged pathogens and "countermeasures" continues into **right now** with Bird Flu (again) and more and more and more and *more* drugs to roll out to you, the intended marks of doom.

I find it fascinating that the Aussie Team that first "isolated" SARS-COV2 in January, 2020 immediately jumped on an Ivermectin study which "eliminated" the virus in cell culture

SAGE HANA · APR 18



"As the virologist who was part of the team who were first to isolate and share SARS-COV2 outside of China in January 2020, I am excited about the prospect of Ivermectin being used as a potential drug against COVID-19," Dr Caly said.

Read full story →

Monash University which launched the "Ivermectin is an Antiviral" Narrative is also the upcoming site of a Moderna mRNA Factory

SAGE HANA · JUN 23



☝️ KILLS THE VIRUS WITHIN 48 HOURS, CROWS TESS LAWRIE's SITE PROMOTING A WORLD IVERMECTIN DAY.

Read full story →

Sometimes I get good sleep and wake up and see a century plus of shitfuckery.

It washes over me.

Of weaponizing everything...Peak Oil...Environmentalism...of Disease and "Medical Care"...

All to keep a boot on the prole's necks.

All to keep a perpetual state of EMERGENCY and grift and seizure of power.

"Is Pfizer Winning Its $43 Billion Bet?"

SAGE HANA · AUG 9

The Seagen purchase came with four approved treatments. Three are ADCs: Padcev for advanced bladder cancer, Adcetris for Hodgkin lymphoma, and Tivdak for cervical cancer. Seagen also brought Tukysa, for a certain form of breast cancer. Including these four, Pfizer's oncology portfolio holds more than 25 approved medicines -- and nine of these are blockb...

Read full story →

The Most Jabbed Countries in the World have the Highest Cancer Rates and it's a Landslide

SAGE HANA · MAR 15

Worldwide Cancer Rates

Age-Standardized Rates per 100k (WHO)

HIGHEST		LOWEST	
Country	Incidence - ASR 2022	Country	Incidence - ASR 2022
Australia	462.50	Sierra Leone	35.90
New Zealand	427.30	Angola	76.80
Denmark	374.70	Gambia	79.20
United States	367.00	Republic of the Congo	80.70
Norway	357.90	Nepal	81.60
Canada	345.90	Qatar	82.40
Ireland	344.70	Yemen	83.10
Netherlands	341.40	Rwanda	83.20

462.5 vs. 35.9 per capita cancer rates.

Read full story →

The only thing that happened this time is a bunch of Professional Middle Class Winners of the Race got ensnared in the Operation.

And they big upset now.

Well some of them.

Some of them like the agendas.

Some of them just monetize the Tier Two Calm the Marks and tell you how they thank God that we can sue Pfizer.

And protect that Monster behind the curtain.

Who Rules the World? How will we ever sort it out? How will we ever sort out who this "Goliath" is, Bret and Tucker? Who does #2 work for? Who does "Pharma" work for?

SAGE HANA · FEB 12

Admittedly I have wrestled with the dueling, “It’s the Nazis!” vs. “It’s the Jews!” vs. “It’s the Commies!” vs. “It’s the Vatican” vs. “It’s the Anglo-American Empire”.

Read full story →

And run elaborate if ham-handed operations about malaria in Mexico and Bobby Kennedy and Bob Malone and CIA.

They are laughing at you.

But the True Believers, of ideology, of politics, of Heroes, of THIS IS HOW I ORDER THE WORLD, are going to the logical mat and absorbing their ground and pound.

They are disintegrating.

Melting.

Look at me now, World! Ain't I grand?

Bodhisattvas Betty

GLOBAL Rockstar Influencers

In June of 2023, the oh-so-modest Dr. Peter McCullough graciously accepted the super duper prestigious award for Top Cardiologist of the Year from the International Association of Top Professionals (IAOTP). Because, you know, there's absolutely no shortage of top cardiologists in the world, and clearly, this award is the pinnacle of recognition in the v...

Read more

7 months ago · 78 likes · 54 comments · Me Stuff

See, selling the future may be brighter for mRNA and pushing the siRNA has party privileges with your new Wellness Company (Security State) pals.

International Association of Top Professionals
July 5, 2023 ·

Congratulations Dr. Peter McCullough for his selection as Top Cardiologist of the Year by the International Association of Top Professionals for his outstanding leadership, dedication and commitment to the healthcare industry! We are sooo honored to have him apart of our iaotp family! Peter A McCullough, MD, MPH



46 6 comments 92 shares

Supermodel and former Miss Universe Michelle McLean from Namibia with Dr. Peter McCullough in Times Square NY for photo shoot December 1 2023. Image from public Facebook post

Dr. Peter McCullough receiving his super prestigious award from Stephanie Cirami at the IAOTP annual gala at the Plaza Hotel in New York December, 2023. Image from public Facebook post

Maha McCullough, Dr. Peter McCullough, and IAOTP President Stephanie Cirami at the annual IAOTP gala in New York December, 2023. Image from public Facebook post

From left Stephanie Coulson, Foster Coulson, Dr. Peter McCullough, Christine Anderson, unknown woman, and Brandon Kuemper, and unkown man. Image from public X post

"And we will get to the bottom of things, and we will get the answers that the people — that you deserve!"

SAGE HANA · APR 4

THE VIGILANT FOX

Upgrade to paid

NOVEMBER, 2022

Read full story →

THE VIGILANT FOX

Upgrade to paid

I Asked MEP Christine Anderson What She Thinks About Donald Trump: Here's What She Had to Say

One of the bravest of all freedom fighters weighs in on Trump.

THE VIGILANT FOX
OCT 28, 2023

Vigilant News

I Asked MEP Christine Anderson What She Thinks About Donald Trump: Here's What She Had to Say

Watch now (3 mins) | Originally Published on Vigilant News Earlier this week, I interviewed the legendary Christine Anderson, a German member of the European Parliament and one of the few politicians who stood up to the COVID nonsense. I asked her many questions on the topics of COVID tyranny, climate change, bad actors, and more, but her comments about Donald Trump were esp...

Read more

10 months ago · 189 likes · 126 comments · The Vigilant Fox

Here's what she had to say about the 45th US President:



> Oh, I love him. **I absolutely love Donald Trump**. And you know why? Because he just doesn't give a damn. And that really makes him unique. And they could slander and slap him with whatever name they could come up with. He just didn't care. And that's actually been an inspiration for me. And I was like, "Yeah, whatever name they want to call you, fine. What's the problem?"
>
> So, he was so refreshingly blunt and honest. Does he lack diplomatic skills? Oh, absolutely. But in this world, where everyone is always concerned about not offending anyone and blah, blah, blah. That was so refreshingly honest. And yeah, that's what the people want. That's what people want. They just want the truth. Whether you articulate it clumsily or sophisticated, **people want the truth** — point blank. So, I just love him.



Back in 1969, Dr Richard Day made some astonishing predictions about where the world would be today

Posted on June 8, 2015 by Henri Thibodeau

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr. Richard Day taught at Mount Sinai from 1968 until he retired in 1971. Earlier he had been national medical director of Planned Parenthood (1965-1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh (1956 to 1965); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics in the Downstate Medical School in Brooklyn (1953 to 1956) and associate professor at the College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University (1935 to 1953) | *New York Times* Obituary, June 16, 1989

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them during that lecture. Something which Dr Dunegan said he found unusual for a professor to ask of his audience. The reason, Dr Day implied, was that there would be negative repercussions – possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group.

Dr Day apparently also told the group that what he was about to say "would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand," something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr Day spoke.

"If population growth didn't slow down, food shortages could be created in a hurry." In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Globalist Agendas Would Unfold

April 9, 2023

Read full story

WEATHER CONTROL

> There was a mention then of weather. This was another really striking statement. He said, "**We can or soon will be able to control the weather.**" He said, "I'm not merely referring to dropping iodide crystals into the clouds to precipitate rain that's already there, but REAL control."
>
> **And weather was seen as a weapon of war, a weapon of influencing public policy.** It could make rain or withhold rain in order to influence certain areas and bring them under your control.
>
> There were two sides to this that were rather striking. He said, "On the one hand you can make drought during the growing season so that nothing will grow, and on the other hand you can make for very heavy rains during harvest season so the fields are too muddy to bring in the harvest, and indeed one might be able to do both."

> There was no statement how this would be done. It was stated that either it was already possible or very very close to being possible.
>
> **Politics**. He said that very few people really know how government works. **Something to the effect that elected officials are influenced in ways that they don't even realize and they carry out plans that have been made for them and they think that they are authors of the plans.** But actually they are manipulated in ways they don't understand.

KNOW HOW PEOPLE RESPOND - MAKING THEM DO WHAT YOU WANT

> Somewhere in the presentation he made two statements that I want to insert at this time. I don't remember just where they were made, but they're valid in terms of the general overall view.
>
> One statement: "**People can carry in their minds and act upon two contradictory ideas at one time, provided that these two contradictory ideas are kept far enough apart.**"
>
> And the other statement is, "You can know pretty well how rational people are going to respond to certain circumstances or to certain information that they encounter. **So, to determine the response you want you need only control the kind of data or information that they're presented or the kinds of circumstance that they're in; and being rational people they'll do what you want them to do. They may not fully understand what they're doing or why.**"

FALSIFIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

> Somewhere in this connection, then, was the statement admitting that **some scientific research data could be - and indeed has been - falsified in order to bring about desired results. And here was said, "People don't ask the right questions. Some people are too trusting."**
>
> Now this was an interesting statement because the speaker and the audience all being doctors of medicine and supposedly very objectively, dispassionately scientific and science being the be all and end-all ... well to falsify scientific research data in that setting is like blasphemy in the church ... you just don't do that.
>
> **Anyhow, out of all of this was to come the New International Governing Body, probably to come through the U.N** . and with a World Court, but not necessarily through those structures. It could be brought about in other ways. Acceptance of the U.N . at that time was seen as not being as wide as was hoped. Efforts would continue to give the United Nations increasing importance. People would be more and more used to the idea of relinquishing some national sovereignty.

Back in 1969, Dr Richard Day made some astonishing predictions about where the world would be today

Posted on June 8, 2015 by Henri Thibodeau

On March 20th, 1969, the late Dr Lawrence Dunegan (1923-2004) attended an astonishing lecture at a meeting of the *Pittsburgh Pediatric Society*. The lecturer was Dr Richard Day (1905-1989), who at the time was Professor of Pediatrics at *Mount Sinai Medical School* in New York. Day had previously served as Medical Director of *Planned Parenthood Federation of America*.

Dr. Richard Day taught at Mount Sinai from 1968 until he retired in 1971. Earlier he had been national medical director of Planned Parenthood (1965-1968); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics at the University of Pittsburgh (1956 to 1965); professor and chairman of the department of pediatrics in the Downstate Medical School in Brooklyn (1953 to 1956) and associate professor at the College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University (1935 to 1953) | *New York Times* Obituary, June 16, 1989

Dr Dunegan had been a student of Dr Day at the University of Pittsburgh, and was thus well acquainted with him. He later claimed that Dr Day had asked the attendees not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them during that lecture. Something which Dr Dunegan said he found unusual for a professor to ask of his audience. The reason, Dr Day implied, was that there would be negative repercussions – possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group.

Dr Day apparently also told the group that what he was about to say "would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand," something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr Day spoke.

"Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now." In 1969, ex-Planned Parenthood Medical Director Richard Day Prophesied How the Depopulation Global Agenda Would Unfold

April 2, 2023

Read full story

ELIMINATION OF PRIVATE DOCTORS

> The image of the doctor would change. No longer would the .. he be seen as an individual professional in service to individual patients. But the doctor would be gradually recognized as a highly skilled technician - and his job would change.
>
> **The job is to include things like executions by lethal injection.** The image of the doctor being a powerful, independent person would have to be changed. And he went on to say, "Doctors are making entirely too much money. They should advertise like any other product." Lawyers would be advertising too.
>
> Keep in mind, this was an audience of doctors; being addressed by a doctor. And it was interesting that he would make some rather insulting statements to his audience without fear of antagonizing us.

NEW DIFFICULT TO DIAGNOSE AND UNTREATABLE DISEASES

> Next heading to talk about is Health and Disease. **He said there would be new diseases to appear which had not ever been seen before.** Would be very difficult to diagnose and be untreatable - at least for along time. No elaboration was made on this, but I remember, not long after hearing this presentation, when I had a puzzling diagnosis to make, I would be wondering, "is this was what he was talking about? Is this a case of what he was talking about?" Some years later, as AIDS ultimately developed, I think AIDS was at least one example of what he was talking about. **I now think that AIDS probably was a manufactured disease.**

SUPPRESSING CANCER CURES AS A MEANS OF POPULATION CONTROL

> Cancer. He said. "**We can cure almost every cancer right now. Information is on file in the Rockefeller Institute, if it's ever decided that it should be released. But consider - if people stop dying of cancer, how rapidly we would become overpopulated. You may as well die of cancer as something else.**"
>
> Efforts at cancer treatment would be geared more toward comfort than toward cure. There was some statement that ultimately the cancer cures which were being hidden in the **Rockefeller Institute** would come to light because independent researchers might bring them out, despite these efforts to suppress them. But at least for the time being, letting people die of cancer was a good thing to do because it would slow down the problem of overpopulation.

INDUCING HEART ATTACKS AS A FORM OF ASSASSINATION

> Another very interesting thing was heart attacks. He said, "**There is now a way to simulate a real heart attack. It can be used as a means of assassination.**" Only a very skilled pathologist who knew exactly what to look for at an autopsy, could distinguish this from the real thing. I thought that was a very surprising and shocking thing to hear from this particular man at that particular time.

If you would like to make a small donation, here are a couple of links.

Credentialism is a helluva drug.

https://ko-fi.com/sagehanaproductions64182

https://www.buymeacoffee.com/sagehanaJ

Removed (Banned) Sep 3

Comment removed

LIKE (26) REPLY (6) SHARE

Mark Crispin Miller Sep 3 Author

RFK is running for president. Would you have him call for retribution? Aside from making YOU feel good, what good would it do everybody else?

LIKE (9) REPLY (6) SHARE

Fred Wolf Sep 3

Mark, you jumped pretty hard on Sage Hana. I reviewed Sage's comments and don't see what you got upset about? Retribution is a big catch all word, but in your mind can that mean legal recourse? I hear non-stop about lawyers wanting to assist Camp Lejeune victims, why shouldn't we see companies (and college campuses like NYU) that mandated the Covid jabs pay a price for their willful ignorance, greed for fed dollars, or zombie-like lockstep reactions to this obvious (to any rational thinking person) plandemic. Anybody paying attention (or reading your substack) should have seen something wasn't/isn't right. Kennedy is on the peoples' side, but does that necessarily exclude talk of consequences?

LIKE (10) REPLY (2) SHARE

Mark Crispin Miller Sep 3 Author

"Would you have him call for retribution?" is hardly what I'd call "jumping pretty hard." But, that aside, I agree completely that the entities mandating "vaccination" should be fully prosecuted, AND those individuals most culpable convicted, and (at least) imprisoned.

That's MY view. All I'm trying to tell Sage Hannah is that RFK's avoidance of such language at this moment doesn't mean he means to let the likes of Fauci skate: on the contrary. Those of us who want to see such justice done should not be squealing for "red meat" that would ultimately do more harm than good.

LIKE (6) REPLY (1) SHARE

90 Likes · 16 Restacks

32 Comments

Write a comment...

Katherine Watt Bailiwick News Aug 10 · *edited Aug 10* Liked by Sage Hana

Digging around in the late 1800s, early 1900s history is yielding some early examples of the same plays from the general playbooks.

For example, paper by Dr. Joseph Kenyoun, first director of the Laboratory for Hygiene within the Marine-Hospital Service, which later became the Public Health Service, and the Hygienic Laboratory and MHS were the seedbeds for NIH, NIAID, CDC and FDA.

Kenyoun trained under Robert Koch and Louis Pasteur in Europe, and brought their infectious disease attribution techniques back to US.

NIH history:

https://history.nih.gov/display/history/A+Short+History+of+the+National+Institutes+of+Health

"Within a few months [of Hygienic Laboratory set up in 1887], Kinyoun had identified the cholera bacillus in suspicious cases and used his Zeiss microscope to demonstrate it to his colleagues as confirmation of their clinical diagnoses. "As the symptoms . . . were by no means well defined," he wrote, "the examinations were confirmatory evidence of the value of bacteria cultivation as a means of positive diagnosis."

1896, Report of the Committee on the Causes and Prevention of Diphtheria, by Kenyoun, offers an early look at the use of microscopic techniques to "diagnose" disease in asymptomatic or mildly-ill cases.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2329096/pdf/pubhealthpap00035-0086.pdf

"It is now almost a universally accepted fact that the bacillus diphtheriae is the sole cause of the disease. Formerly, the bacillus diphtheria, was supposed to cause only inflammation of the upper air-passages, which are accompanied by a pseudo-membrane. This belief is slowly changing, and the term diphtheria has a broader application; for it has been satisfactorily demonstrated that many of the inflammatory affections of the nose and throat not accompanied by a false membrane, were nevertheless caused by the diphtheria germ.

While this is not being accepted as rapidly by the medical profession and laity as the health officer could wish, the number of adherents to this belief is gradually increasing.

By reason of the microscopic and culture test, we have now two classes of diphtheritic infection to deal with, the one presenting the classical and typical symptoms - the clinical diphtheria - the other, where the symptoms are slight or absent, with the bacillus present, the so-called laboratory diphtheria."

LIKE (13) REPLY SHARE

3 replies

LaMaisonGelat LaMaisonGelat's Substack Aug 10 Liked by Sage Hana

Rothschild Rockerfeller Revelationists... RRR. Like the KKK but different... Melatonin not a factor.

Club exclusivity.

Only red heifers (SQUARKMOO free variants) and messiahs need apply...

'He's not the Messiah, he's a very naughty boy... "

LIKE (11) REPLY SHARE

14 replies by Sage Hana and others

30 more comments...

© 2024 Sage Hana · Privacy · Terms · Collection notice
Substack is the home for great culture